

It is demonstrable, from Baltimore 10 and State 10, that Chambers also had a source in FE. Baltimore 10, a typewritten excerpt from State 10, was produced by Chambers with the other typewritten documents (Balt. 5-9, 11-47) which he asserted he had obtained from appellant. It is undisputed that Baltimore 10 was not typed on the Woodstock typewriter (R. 1075). At this trial for the first time Chambers suggested on cross-examination that he might have received Baltimore 10 from Harry Dexter White (R. 582), though after redirect and recross-examination he reasserted "I believe Alger Hiss gave me that paper" (R. 655; see also R. 595-7, 654-6, 660). There is in the record and in the documents themselves no basis (apart from Chambers' assertions) for finding that he received Baltimore 10 either from appellant or from White, an official of the Treasury Department. There is no evidence that any copy of State 10 went to the Treasury or anywhere else where it would have been available to White. There is internal evidence in State 10 that it went in the State Department only to FE (and to DCR for filing). State 10 is a counterpart, from the State Department files, of a periodic report, dated January 7, 1938, prepared by the Military Intelligence Division of the War Department, to which is attached a War Department routing slip dated January 13, 1937, marked "For Mr. Hamilton." Hamilton was the then Chief of FE (R. 869). State 10 bears only two receiving stamps, that of FE dated January 18, 1938 (see R. 1398), and that of DCR dated February 8, the date of filing. This being the type of document of which no information copies were made in the State Department, the receiving stamps show the only offices in the State Department to which the document went (R. 871, 1391, 1393-4; see also p. 23, *supra*). The only reasonable conclusion* is that Chambers had a source in FE who, between January 18 and February 8, made the excerpts from State 10 of which Baltimore 10 consists.

* The other theoretically possible source would be DCR; but, as will be suggested below, that is a likely source of documents only where there are copies of documents (other than the filed original) in the State Department, and there is no evidence that there were any such copies of State 10.